

Key topics

- the evolution of the cloud as a technical platform
- the 'nodes', how they interoperate, and how they differentiate and add value to
- their research communities
- And what next?

Super Science eResearch Investments - 2009	-2014:
Shared Data:	
 Australian National Data Service (ANDS) 	AU\$48M
Research Apps, Collaboration, Cloud	
NeCTAR	AU\$47M
Data Storage	
 Research Data Storage Infrastructure (RDSI) 	AU\$50M
High Performance Computing	
 National Computational Initiative (NCI) 	AU\$50M
 Pawsey Centre 	AU\$80M
Networks	
 National Research Network (NRN) 	AU\$37M

The nectar program was actually part of a broad set of eResearch investments over 5 years in Australia. These eResearch investments totaled around \$300M over a period of 5 years. Total EIF SuperScience investment across a range of domains was \$1.2B, with around \$300M invested through the Super Science program.

At the time, NeCTAR and RDS (compute + data) were separated. In hindsite this wasn't such a great idea..



So I'll start with - where are we today?

The NeCTAR Research Cloud is a single integrated cloud operated by 8 national partners and supporting over **10000 research** user registrations across Australia.

The thing to note here is that these are all quite different organisations. Some are universities, some are supercomputing centres (with a discipline twist), ...



The NeCTAR Research Cloud is a single integrated cloud operated by 8 national partners and supporting over **10,000 research** user registrations across Australia. This innovative infrastructure is **reducing barriers to collaboration and knowledge sharing across institutional, state and national boundaries.**



<mark>e</mark> nectar

This infrastructure was designed to **reduce barriers to collaboration and knowledge sharing across institutional, state and national boundaries.** The fact that we can take servers and data and ship them across the country, where you can access all this infrastructure using a single API and a single Dashboard, are all in support of these goals.



That's where we are at now but there's quite a bit of history stretching all the way back to 2010 which got us to where we are today, and I think are some broad themes and features of the approach very much worth appreciating what's happened here.

From the very early days, there was a rallying of the community even way back in 2010 to start getting everyone on board. In late 2010 there was a roadshow to introduce Australian University's to the nectar program, the inaugural director and they're v. small team .

The technical experts from around the country were invited to a workshop in Feb 2011 to talk about what and how. How would we build the cloud? And using what?

There were two node calls, inviting organisations to propose cloud nodes.

The overlay that isn't show here is that of the eResearch tools and Virtual laboratory program – which is a significant outcome of the Nectar program...

Early days circa 2011 + 2012

•	OpenStack	selection -	- why?

- 1. (Dev) Community engagement
- 2. Strong industry involvement and uptake
- 3. A process and a community-driven roadmap
- Node build, uptake and a focus on low barrier to entry
 - One node, focus on bringing on the national community
 - Single sign on with your university credentials
 - 2 cores for 3 months with a public IP (!)
- National workshops through 2012
 - NADojos promoting data tools and capabilities on the (new) cloud
 - Aimed at those who support researchers

nectar

There were some specific activities that happened through 2011 and 2012, these were designed to establish the cloud and the community early on:

OpenStack selection : I mentioned getting the technical community together early on to decide on how to select a cloud platform. For cost, licensing complexities, and so on, various commercial solutions were out.

Proof of Concept – through 2011, 300 core test cloud which was used as a Poc...

Build of the first node & uptake:

After the POC we had the challenge at Melbourne of building the first cloud node. I will say, some of the early challenges were internal. We were part of ITS which was traditionally an enterprise shop.

Where's the business case? Where's the architecture? We're just going to build it as we go – experiment with the infrastructure? What's does the service look like? We'll only know when people start using it. What's the SLA? We couldn't possibly use opensource technologies to run INFRASTRUCTURE. What do you mean you're going to give people a public IP address on University infrastructure? These were the conversations I would have continuously through the development of the first node, working to shield the technical team from the broader ITS.

However, it was made easier because we had the CIO on side, and he was the one

6

who vouched for us and was pivotal in bringing the Nectar project into Melbourne.

Early wins – lowering the barrier to entry – AAF login, 2 cores – this might shock you – but we had no T&C's,

At Melbourne was the first node, aimed to establish a groundswell of users across the country. What happened then was that we had researchers from multiple institutions utilising that (single node) cloud infrastructure as it was going to be about 14 months till another cloud node came online.

Next slide: National NADojo workshops Early engagement ALSO 'Research cloud forum' @ Unimelb

at 4:30 PM Melbourne, VIC	Montany Supportional and a state of a state of the interesting supportional action and the state of the state	the second secon	ced Black Bel e Cloud #nade	ojo	Today we'n sold out, 65 Nathan Wa Thanks for with all tho Ben Till @c NeCTAR res	at the #NAi attendees, i ton-Haigh an excellent se in the kno to to hillihero - 22	Ul 2012 Jul 2012 Jul ata in the cloud workshop today. Good coffee and
Ticket Information When & Where	TYPE REMAINING END QUANTITY						

Early engagement

Focus on a national tour atop operating infrastruture

Dojo style training methodology, designed to 'train the trainers' – experts – technically proficient people who were already supporting researchers in eResearch

This literally involved members of staff from the University of Melbourne team going from capital city to capital city on an NADojo tour.

As we had the node at Melbourne, we also rad Research Cloud forums...

These early engagements were essential in building up a strong user community. Some of our most prominent supporters were users on Day 1, attended the forums, and are still very active users today.



Around the time of the first node being launched... there was a formal request for proposal process to establish the nodes of the cloud. Operators, institutions and other affiliated organisations were invited to put in proposals for research cloud nodes.

Selecting nodes
Call for nodes
 Two calls for nodes in November 2011 and May 2012.
Expectations
 Operate within an established and evolving architectural framework With with the lead node / core services and do stuff in accordance with the related policies and procedures Work within the broader operations, maintenance and support mechanisms
Criteria
 Operational experience, research community engagement, support models, training, etc.
•== nectar 9

Expectations - Note that all these things were evolving.

EIF funding – funded capital \$, not operations, so each node had to do its own thing.

Expectations, the criteria...



... Build up of the cloud from the POC through the UoM doing the first node, to various funded nodes coming online. The total scale of the infrastructure is ~ 40K cores (funded mostly through NCRIS as well as institutional coinvestment).

The lead node idea started evolving as well.

In 2013, when NCRIS first came online, operations, maintenance and so on started to become supported. So the core services idea was developed further

Refining the approach, 2013+

"Work Packages" for Improved & Continued Operations:

Code Title / Activity

WP1	Cloud	Core	Services -	- Lead:	Melbourne
-----	-------	------	------------	---------	-----------

- WP2 Monitoring and Reporting Services Lead: NCI
- WP3 Security Monitoring & Incident Response Lead: Intersect
- WP4 Quality Assurance of NeCTAR VMs Lead: Monash
- WP5 Continuous Improvement Lead: QCIF
- WP6 User Support Lead: QCIF
- WP7 Advanced Ecosystem Services Lead: Melbourne

WP8 Cloud Allocations Policy & Improvements - Lead: Monash

WP11 National Server Program - Lead: Melbourne

3 operations streams

- Research Cloud Core Services
- User Support
- National Server Program

Ops improvements

OpenStack consistency across nodes

Reduced effort in upgrades Improved core services uptime >99.895% first half 2015 and now regularly at 100%

NCRIS from 2013 brought in funding to support an uplift of capabilities ..



So what we ended up with was this....

So, with the middleware all strung to a central API endpoint, how different can nodes look?

A single c	loud dashboard (and API endpoint)	
Global Ob IaaS & pla	OpenStack cells to support 8 regional sites: Early adopters of Cells - thanks RackSpace Users can request a site – or deploy anywhere. Dject Storage federation (Swift) Providing data redundancy across sites	CCIF eRSA: Unternity of Metbourne Untersect Untersect Untersect Untersect Untersect Untersect Untersect Untersect Untersect Untersect
	k Higher Level Services	
	Data & analytics – Trove, Sahara, Gnocchi	
• ,	Application Services – Heat, Murano	
•	Software Defined Networking – Neutron	
	Storage, backup & recovery – Swing, Cinder and Manilla	



Steady increase

Diversity of use cases

<section-header><section-header><section-header><complex-block><image>

I'd like to spend a bit of time talking about how the national cloud is operated, the structures, experts and community which which support this infrastructure. From what it was 5 years ago, it is now quite a highly evolved, collaborative and streamlined process.

Nectar training Australias openstack expertise.

Nationally ~ 16 FTE spread over 60+ people Core Services Distributed Help Desk Node operations and Node management Nectar Directorate – federation business mgmt Supporting – 10,000+ registered Nectar Cloud allocations plus 1,000's of users within many these allocations

Distributed approach provides for collaboration and skills development and transfer across the nation

Argue that inefficiencies and extra work that come from a distributed approach is more than compensated for by benefits of collaboration and skills development.

The People who Operate Nectar Research Cloud

Core Services

- 6 EFT 4 at UoM and 1 each at Monash and NCI
- 1 EFT Operations Manager
- Monash and NCI EFT split over several people

Distributed Help Desk

- 1.4 EFT spread over up to 10+ people over 6 organisations
- 0.8 EFT Service Manager

Each of the 8 nodes

- 0.6 to 1.5 EFT dev-ops system admin people
- · Line management and Node management also in the operations conversation
- Each node also RDS node often same people doing RDS and Nectar RC work

nectar

The Monash and NCI Core Services EFT being spread over multiple people does experience service level difficulties from time to time

The DHD is underpinned by a rotating team who take on helpdesk support for the national community ...



Core services and the broader national team actually engage in a range of more engineering and technical roles, as well as 'softer' areas of activity.

Many people were not aware of the softer areas of activity that Core Services was engaged in. The point was to communicate to Management at Nodes and other management outside of Nectar the bredth of activities that Core Services is engaged in.



We didn't know this on day 1



Tools used to "glue" distributed ops

SLACK - Channels for RC-ops, Core Service, DHD, Science Clouds etc.,

ZOOM — Video Conferencing extensively used for meetings and impromptu discussions Email – of course GitHub, Gerrit, Jenkins

Distributed help desk system – FreshDesk based

Documentation

- Wiki for Core Services and Node Operators
- FreshDesk Knowledge Base for end users (and public side of Operations)

<mark>:</mark> nectar



I really powerful thing here is that what this basic underpinning infrastructure allows a proliferation of different focii and business models to exist atop a common platform.

That is... each of the nodes have evolved independent capabilities atop the common cloud platform.

This is the power here. Common APIs, common operations, common platform, but a diversity of nodes ,each with their own discipline –focussed research interests.

What I want to get across here is that just because you have a common platform which enables and support collaborative research, it doesn't need to look the same everywhere. It can be diverse and representative of local needs.

Monash University

There are always many "front-doors" we need to provide, as sometimes it is the national programs that fund a resource, sometimes it is the university, and sometimes is a research community. These are the "Access Layers". Researchers who use these "front-doors" want the right shape of computing/storage/networking resource for research. Thanks to the NeCTAR

investment, we developed a map of how researchers (under an era of no capacity constraints), of how time-vs-utilisation of a cloud resource relates to flavours. We need, and will always need, more flavours than the commodity (web/container/etc) markets will provide at competitive prices. This is the IaaS layer in the diagram. The entire set of resources of flavours is orchestrated through OpenStack (and in our case Cumulus and Ceph) independent of who funded that resource (tenant)."

Another case in point here is the k

ntersect.org.au	Cloud	• nectarcloud owntime.Intersect.org.au				
	Virtual Machine Size	Up to 16 cores and 32 GB RAM	Up to 64 cores and 1 TB RAM			
		16 core VMS are scarce				
	Dedicated VMs	No	Yes			
	Availability	Australian AAF only	Unrestricted			
	Management	Self provisioned subject to NeCTAR authorisation	IT or Self provisioned subject to commercial agreement			
	Cost	Inconsistent national subsidies may apply	Published pricing at list and member rates	, L		
<mark> .</mark> ne	ctar	time.intersect.org.au/OwnTime		INTE		

Intersect is an incorporated company, which provides services to the NSW research and govt communities. So the model it utilises is very different to say melbourne or monash. Again, common APIs, common platform, but a different delivery model, different customers, diverse services, etc.



Have gone to great effort, much more than other to market and promote their services to the NSW research and government community in pretty funky ways, through promoting HPC, cloud and data services as time, owntime and data respectively. Userbase is diverse.



Requires cross-domain research

- Modelling Extreme & High Impact events BoM
- NWP, Climate Coupled Systems & Data Assimilation BoM, CSIRO, Research Collaborations
- Hazards Geoscience Australia, BoM, States
- Geophysics, Potential Fields, Seismic, Electromag Geoscience Australia, Universities
- Monitoring the Environment & Ocean ANU, BoM, CSIRO, GA, Research, Fed/State
- · Agriculture/food security issues

Tropical Cyclones

Volcanic Ash

Bush Fires

Flooding







Manam Eruption

31 July, 2015



Wye Valley & Lorne Fires 25-31 Dec, 2015



St George, QLD February, 2011

3D Geophysical Models

Cyclone Winston

20-21 Feb, 2016

National Computational
 Infrastructure 2017
 Ben Evans

nci.org.au



- 1. NCI has integrated the cloud infrastructure so it plays an integral part in the whole environment. Until Nectar came along, NCI were not engaged in cloud at all.
- 2. We have built our own Puppet Application level environment which support their primary services
- 3. We separate out the core application service environment from the nonmanaged environment. The core application environment has tighter security controls and access to the data e.g. 10+Pbytes of reference data. Most data is made available to non-managed nodes via community standard "data services" rather than "NFS".
- 4. There is an ecosystem of core environments our Virtual Desktop Infrastructure (VDI), and other core services and Virtual labs.
- 5. There are then various virtual labs and portals that can then be built of our core services
- 6. ... all supported and underpinned by the cloud platform



Another, more clear example of our data platform for Earth Systems. It's the National Earthsystems Research Data Interoperability Platform (NERDIP). The view is that we should provide a foundation layer than works functionally and performantly correct for various types of access. HPC, VLs, Portals and programmatic access via machine connected services deep into the data.

AUSTRALIA Easy-ad	ccess analysis environm	ents	V/IN/
iPython Notebooks VDI – frictionless environme			
strudel			
National Computational Infrastructure 2017	Ben Evans et al	N/24-A	nci.org.au

The use of python/jupyter notebooks is now very ubiquitous. We provide a full-features VDI environment that we use, and we make sure that the python notebooks work internally as well as via services.

Our VDI has lots of software tools and libraries well which have direct access to the large repositories of data.



Here is just a small selection of all the range of portals, VLs and workflow environment that are built from this ecosystem.



So they were the node-specific examples, but of course the cloud now underpinns a tonne of research activity around Australia, really supporting innovation in the business of research.

CRC – migrated to nectar cloud while completing potentially Australia's largest research commercialisation agreement. Seamless migration. Leverage their own IT team – with support from the nectar team.

Plant energy biology – ease of sharing access to data and services.

Stemformatics – agile and redundant infrastructure to support access to key data and services for stem cell research in australia. Also – international users.

Access to sector-based cloud infrastructure is changing the way research institutions, centres and institutes offer access to data and services. More agility – more innovation – less risk.

NeCTAR Virtual Laboratory Case Genomics VL **Biodiversity and** Climate Change VL "..decreases the time to of this kind of platform in the world... Genomics complete biodiversity capability for the masses." Associate Professor Andrew analysis from 2 months to 5 minutes, supporting new predict Lonie, Director, EMBL-ABR. applications in research, government and industry." The Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre is using Professor Brendan Mackay the GVL in the NeCTAR Research Cloud, Director, Griffith Climate Change Response Program providing instant access to Genomics tools and data for Australian biologists Accelerating biodiversity-climate change modelling across large disparate datasets quickly and easily Virtual Laboratories are: on the Research Cloud. Marine VL Accelerating research "MARVL enables researchers Leveraging the Research to start thinking about their problem sooner." Dr Roger Proctor, Director e-Marine Information Infrastructure Facility. Cloud for wide access and collaboration Bringing together

🕂 nectar

observation and modelling

Ocean observations and modelling for marine and coastal environments lan Coghlan is studying coastal erosion. MARVL saves him 3 months effort to access local data, wave model simulations and computing resources.

30







- Access to a supported eco-system of Virtual Labs, research apps, data, tools and models
- Access to sector and commercial cloud resource providers *multi-cloud strategy*

nectar

32

A strategic direction that was established by the Nectar program about 18 months ago was the move 'up the stack' to science clouds.

Nationally, we're in the process of Establishing 3 Science Clouds, Australian BioSciences Cloud, Australian EcoSystems Science Cloud, Australian Marine Science Cloud.

A science cloud in general terms does three key things:

(1) Leverages the common infrastructure in the Research cloud and the Virtual Laboratories programmes and tunes portions of it to meet the needs of specific research communities.

(2) They are a vehicle for investment. They give us a label to allow a domain specific focus for investment into common infrastructure. This supports strategic partnership with the research community to shape the infrastructure to meet their specific needs.

(3) Science Clouds are a vehicle for organizing cross-institutional resourcing. What the VL program, and these science cloud program have done and will continue to do is join together experts from a diverse range of institutions who want to work to a common discipline cloud.

MARINE CLOUD - To provide marine scientists and students a robust framework of tools and resources with easy access to open data in a standard environment. Designed to reduce the time for tool and code preparation as well as the data discovery process.

ECO CLOUD - A place that all environmental, climate and biodiversity data is **dynamically and natively** available to common analysis tools. A place where researchers can **view**, **query** data **regardless of location**. A place where researchers can get accurate and up-to date **scientific and technical** user support.

BIOSCIENCES CLOUD - Define a common platform and set of services upon which national significant outputs such as datasets, tools and workflows can be published and utilised by the national biosciences research community.

Make the cloud more attractive for coinvestment

Final remarks

- 1. Treat OpenStack as a diverse set of tools and methods, and build your capabilities in response to this
- 2. Automate and automated some more
- 3. Take risks early, and evolve and harden as you go along
- Focus on the 'engagement ecosystem' researcher education, early, often and face to face (& realise that IaaS is not designed for researchers)
- 5. Embrace (platform) diversity
- Build an accessible and core OpenStack capability, and build a national team
- 7. Participate in the international OpenStack community

<mark>:</mark> nectar

- There is a real complexity to openstack. It's a diverse set of things, it's not just a software tool you install. Release management, testing, debugging, development, distributed helpdesks, engaing with users, these are all things which must be appreciate
- 2. The overall cloud function is lean because of this, and its an ongoing function that takes time and effort..
- 3. i.e. the 2 core argument... and T&C's only implemented now...
- 4. Node success factors...
- 5. Diversity of approaches and nodes..
- 6. Building a core, accessible team of engineering expertise and prowess really helps lift the competency of everyone. It's very easy to fumble around with openstack for months. Having access to an extended network of experts is extremely beneficial. And it builds up expertise over time in a distribute way...
- 7. Contribute / Go to the summits / Learn / connect

